

DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on measures to reduce the cost of deploying high-speed electronic communications networks

European Commission, DG CONNECT Brussels, 22 May 2014

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Commission





The political context

The expected impact

The main content





What is at stake?

- High-speed BB = backbone of Digital Single Market
- BB contributes to growth and jobs (EU 2020)
- DAE goal: high-speed BB for all by 2020
- Grey areas are expensive to cover with highspeed Internet



Action at EU level

European Council (1-2 March 2012)

Single Market Act II (3 October 2012)

"Key action 9:

Reduce the cost and increase efficiency in the deployment of high speed communication infrastructure"

Legislative proposal to be presented in Q1/2013 and adopted by Spring 2014

European Council (13-14 December 2012)



Problem Statement

Rolling out high-speed communication networks is expensive and cumbersome



- Up to 80% of the costs are linked to civil engineering
- These costs are unnecessarily high due to the presence of various bottlenecks and economic inefficiencies





Objectives

Make a better use of existing infrastructure and enhance its transparency, incl. cross-utility

Enhance coordination in civil engineering projects

Speed up permit granting

Make buildings ready for access to high-speed Internet

Reduce costs of broadband rollout by 20 to 30%

6



+ Social and environmental benefits

Better broadband coverage = social and territorial cohesion

Increase synergies across sectors e.g. smart grids & intelligent transport systems

Less duplication of civil works, less digging or nuisance



Scaling up national best practice



Legal basis: Article 114 TFEU



State of Play





Four Pillars





• Coordination of civil works



• Streamlining permit granting



• In building infrastructure





Scope

Minimum harmonisation, Art. 1(2)

Member States may maintain or introduce measures which go beyond

Complements the Regulatory Framework (RF)

Different aim, can be applied without conflict

Prevalence of the RF Article 1(3)

Without prejudice to SMP regulation & symmetric regulation



Definitions (Article 2)

Element of a network intended to host other elements, without becoming itself an active part
Utilities & providers of public communications networks
Physical infrastructure or installations at the end user's location
Civil works entailing structural modification of the entire or significant part of the building
Physical point, in or outside the building, where access to the in-building infrastructure is available



Making a better use of existing infrastructure (Art. 3)

Enabling access agreements, Art. 3(1)

Mandating access upon reasonable request, Art. 3(2) & (3)

Binding dispute settlement, cross sector, including on prices, Art. 3(4) & (5)



Creating a market for physical infrastructure

Liberalisation provision

Abolition of national obstacles

Additional incentives to utilities

14



Enabling access to the physical infrastructure

Who?	Network Operators (utilities & providers of public communications networks)
What?	Meet reasonable requests for access to the physical infrastructure
How?	On commercial basis: written request, fair and reasonable terms and conditions, incl. price
But	Reasons to refuse access: technical suitability, safety, security, availability of space, existence of alternatives
What if?	Binding dispute resolution within 4 months



Principles of dispute resolution

Procedure: timely

• Within 4 months, unless exceptional circumstances

Substance: complete

- Disputes on access conditions, including price
- Respecting competition and incentives to invest

16

Effect

- Binding on all the parties
- Possibility to go to the Court



Enhancing transparency of existing infrastructure (Art. 4)

Who?	Public sector bodies & Network Operators	
------	--	--

- What? Information on location & route, type & current use, contact point
- **How?** Via a SIP, upon specific request, on proportionate, transparent & non-discriminatory terms and conditions

What if Dispute resolution within 2 months





Enhancing coordination of civil engineering works (Art. 5)

Enabling coordination agreements, Art. 5(1)

Coordination with public works upon reasonable request, Art. 5(2)

Mediation, Art. 5(3) & (4)





Transparency concerning planned civil works, Art. 6

Who?	Any network operator
------	----------------------

What?	Location, type, network elements involved, starting date, duration, contact point, for works pending authorisation, or planed in the next 6 months

How? Upon specific written request Via a SIP

What if? Binding dispute resolution within 2 months



Speeding up permit granting (Art. 7)

Transparency of permit granting, Art. 7(1)

• All information available at a Single Information Point

Coordination of permit requests, Art. 7(2)

- Electronic submission
- Via a Single Information Point

Ensuring timely decisions, Art. 7(3) & (4)

- 4-month default deadline
- Reference to compensation



Making buildings ready for access to high-speed internet (Art. 8 & 9)



21



In-building physical infrastructure (Art. 8)

Scope	New buildings Major renovations
Obligation to equip	In-building physical infrastructure Access point
Exceptions	Proportionality control
	Holiday houses, remote areas etc.



Access to in-building physical infrastructure (Art. 9)

Beneficiary Providers of public communications networks

Right to access	Access point
	In-building physical infrastructure
Right to terminate	At the end-users location
Exception	Open access networks
What if?	Binding dispute resolution



Balanced approach, in respect of property rights





Organisational measures (Art. 10)

Member States to appoint one or more Single Information Point(s)

Member States to appoint a Dispute Settlement Body

Right of appeal against any decision

Member States to provide for proportionate penalties

25



Thank you for your attention!